## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., et al.,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Case No. 07 CV 2898
ACE INA HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,  Defendants	) ) Judge Robert W. Gettleman )
LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,	<ul><li>) Magistrate Judge Sidney I. Schenkier</li><li>)</li></ul>
Counter-Claimants,	)
v.	)
AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., et al.,	) ) )
Counter-Defendants.	) ) )
SAFECO INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA and OHIO CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, individually, and on behalf of a class consisting of members of the National Workers Compensation Reinsurance Pool, Plaintiff,	) ) ) ) Case No. 09 CV 2026 )  Judge Robert W. Gettleman
v.	) ) Magistrate Judge Sidney I. Schenkier
AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

ORIGINAL CLASS PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO NWCRP BOARD OF GOVERNORS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR AND FILE AMICUS BRIEF

Case: 1:09-cv-02026 Document #: 362 Filed: 03/07/11 Page 2 of 4 PageID #:8695

Ohio Casualty Insurance Company and Safeco Insurance Company of America (collectively, "Original Class Plaintiffs"), to avoid additional disputes, will raise no objection to the NWCRP Board of Governors filing their Brief in Support of Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Brief"). It is Original Class Plaintiffs' strong view, however, that the Court should attach no evidentiary weight to any of the matters set forth in the Brief. The Brief is not admissible evidence because it contains (a) opinions within the meaning of Fed. R. Evid. 701-703, but not qualifying under those rules; (b) hearsay; and (c) unsupported factual assertions, disputed by Original Class Plaintiffs. Because the arguments set forth in the Brief are not attested to by a witness who can satisfy foundational requirements, the Court cannot consider those arguments in ruling on the matters before it. Reed v. Advocate Health Care, 268 F.R.D. 573, 594 n. 20 (N.D. Ill. 2009) (the federal rules of evidence apply to motions for class certification); American Honda Motor Co. v. Allen, 600 F.3d 813, 815-16 (7th Cir. 2010) ("We hold that when an expert's report or testimony is critical to class certification [the Court must] conclusively rule on any challenge to the expert's qualifications or submissions prior to ruling on the class certification motion. That is, the district court must perform a full *Daubert* analysis before certifying the class if the situation warrants.")

Here the Brief contains assertions that are wholly unsupported by any evidence. For example, Part VI of the Brief claims that the lack of a settlement could "jeopardize the ongoing viability of the risk-spreading mechanism" provided by the NWCRP. Brief at 8. Whatever that statement means (and its meaning is unclear), it is an inadmissible opinion supported by no declaration or other evidence.

Moreover, though characterized as an amicus brief by the NWCRP Board of Governors, the Brief is actually no more than another supplemental brief by Intervenors. Indeed, seven of Case: 1:09-cv-02026 Document #: 362 Filed: 03/07/11 Page 3 of 4 PageID #:8696

the eleven Board members (another is Liberty Mutual, which does not agree with the arguments

or contentions made in the Brief) are Intervenors. The Brief thus represents an extension of

Intervenors' arguments, not the position of an independent entity.

Finally, Original Class Plaintiffs also object to, and reserve all objections to, the many

conflicts of interest of the Board of Governors and their counsel and especially to their taking

sides in multiple disputes among members. These conflicts of interest have been called to their

attention orally and in writing, including in the letters attached to the Declaration of Gary Elden

filed on January 10, 2011, Docket No. 299, and by this Court's August 20, 2009 Opinion at 15.

Original Class Plaintiffs will address all of this in detail in later briefing (after discovery allows

for a complete record), and therefore will not amplify this discussion now, but note it now to

avoid any later claim of waiver.

Dated: March 7, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

SAFECO INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA and OHIO CASUALTY

INSURANCE COMPANY

By: \_/s/ Gary M. Elden

One of Their Attorneys

Gary M. Elden

Gary M. Miller

Matthew O. Sitzer

Daniel M. Hinkle

GRIPPO & ELDEN LLC

111 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: (312) 704-7700

Michael A. Walsh Allison D. Burroughs

NUTTER, McCLENNEN & FISH, LLP

155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Telephone: (617) 439-2775

2

Case: 1:09-cv-02026 Document #: 362 Filed: 03/07/11 Page 4 of 4 PageID #:8697

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that on March 7, 2011, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **ORIGINAL CLASS PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO NWCRP BOARD OF GOVERNORS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR AND FILE AMICUS BRIEF** to be filed with the Clerk of the Court and served using the CM/ECF e-filing system upon all counsel of record, and that the same was served via electronic mail on all counsel of record on March 7, 2011.

/s/ Gary M. Elden Gary M. Elden